



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	re	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/843,255	04/24/2001		Ramarathnam Venkatesan	MS1-647US	7357
22801	7590 10/14/2004			EXAMINER	
LEE & HAY		DASTOURI, MEHRDAD			
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				2623	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/843,255	VENKATESAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mehrdad Dastouri	2623			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication repriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, repriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rein. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
•	/	This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-7,15-19,64 and 65 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7,15-19,64 and 65 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicati	ion Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 April 2001</u> is/ard		cted to by the Examiner.			
·-, _	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•				
,	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ie Examinor. Note the attached	To the Action of Ionn't To Top.			
12)[_] a) ⁽	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Beace the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	nt(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ser No(s)/Mail Date 8/18/2003.	·/ —	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 09/843,255

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 64 and 65 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a duplicate of Claims 7 and 15, respectively. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 15-19, 64 and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Li (U.S. 5,774,588).

Regarding Claim 1, Li discloses a computer-implemented method for hashing a body of text, the method comprising:

obtaining a body of text (Figure 1A, Step 100; Figure 1B, Step 120; Column 6, Lines 40-50);

deriving a hash value representative of content of the body of text, perceptually distinct bodies of text having hash values that are substantially independent of each other (Figures 2, 4A-B and 5; Column 7, Lines 17-67, Column 8, Lines 1-14. Non-duplicative groups of the signature vector 25 have been hashed to the bucket address table.).

Application/Control Number: 09/843,255

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding Claim 2, Li further discloses a method as recited in Claim 1, wherein perceptually distinct bodies of text have hash values that are independent of each other Figures 4A-B; Column 7, Lines 63-67, Column 8, Lines 1-14).

Regarding Claim 3, Li further discloses a method as recited in Claim 1 further comprising comparing hash values of two bodies of text to determine if such values match (Figure 4B; Column 7, Lines 17-40).

Regarding Claim 4, Li further discloses a method as recited in Claim 1 further comprising comparing hash values of two bodies of text to determine if such values substantially match (Column 6, Lines 28-36; Figure 4B; Column 7, Lines 17-40).

Regarding Claim 5, Li further discloses a method as recited in Claim 4 further comprising indicating whether such values substantially match (Column 6, Lines 28-36; Column 13, Lines 65-67, Column 14, Lines 1-14).

With regards to Claims 6, 7 and 64, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 1 are applicable to Claims 6, 7 and 64.

With regards to Claims 15-17, arguments analogous to those presented for Claims 1-5 are applicable to Claims 15-17. Li further discloses similar bodies of text having proximally similar hash values (Figures 4A-B; Column 7, Lines 50-67, Column 8, Lines 1-14. Similar bodies of text (e.g., AH and AI) have proximally similar hash values (proximal 12-bit hash values).).

With regards to Claims 18, 19 and 65, arguments analogous to those presented for Claims 15-17 are applicable to Claims 18, 19 and 65.

Other prior art cited

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - U.S. Patent 4,773,039 to Zamora;
 - U.S. Patent 6,081,893 to Grawrock et al.

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mehrdad Dastouri whose telephone number is (703) 305-2438. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on (703) 308-6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mehrdad Dastouri Primary Examiner Art Unit 2623 October 6, 2004 MEHRDAD DASTOURI
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Which Lad Dastour